

## Comment

<b>Consultee</b>	Mr Matt Brown (316577)
<b>Email Address</b>	matt.brown@environment-agency.gov.uk
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Environment Agency
<b>Address</b>	Eastbury House 9th Floor London SE1 7TL
<b>Event Name</b>	Core Strategy Submission DPD Representations Stage
<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Matt Brown
<b>Comment ID</b>	CS28
<b>Response Date</b>	20/07/09 11:39
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Core Strategy Policy 5 Hackney Wick New Community ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.11
<b>Guidance notes</b>	

Please tick the sections of the guidance that you wish to read.

**Introduction**

**Legal Compliance**

**Soundness**

**General advice**

**Legal compliance**

**Do you consider the DPD is legally compliant?** Yes

## Soundness

Do you consider the DPD is sound? Yes

## Unsound

Do you consider the DPD is unsound because it is not:

### Reasons for compliance and soundness

**Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We support this policy (5 Hackney Wick) and the paragraphs (4.42 & 4.43) relating to flood risk management. The Sequential Test has been undertaken by the Council for the Hackney Wick area which is located in a high flood risk area as demonstrated in the North London SFRA and Scott Wilson Report on the Sequential Test. This is in line with Planning Policy Statement 25 (PPS25).

### Changes necessary for legal compliance and soundness

### Participation at oral part of examination

### Reasons for participation at oral part of examination

## Comment

<b>Consultee</b>	Mr Matt Brown (316577)
<b>Email Address</b>	matt.brown@environment-agency.gov.uk
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Environment Agency
<b>Address</b>	Eastbury House 9th Floor London SE1 7TL
<b>Event Name</b>	Core Strategy Submission DPD Representations Stage
<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Matt Brown
<b>Comment ID</b>	CS31
<b>Response Date</b>	20/07/09 11:48
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Core Strategy Policy 27 Biodiversity ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.10
<b>Guidance notes</b>	

Please tick the sections of the guidance that you wish to read.

**Introduction**

**Legal Compliance**

**Soundness**

**General advice**

**Legal compliance**

**Do you consider the DPD is legally compliant?** Yes

## **Soundness**

**Do you consider the DPD is sound?** Yes

## **Unsound**

**Do you consider the DPD is unsound because it is not:**

### **Reasons for compliance and soundness**

**Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We support this policy as it seeks to protect and enhance biodiversity within the Borough. This is in line with Planning Policy Statement 9.

### **Changes necessary for legal compliance and soundness**

### **Participation at oral part of examination**

### **Reasons for participation at oral part of examination**

## Comment

<b>Consultee</b>	Mr Matt Brown (316577)
<b>Email Address</b>	matt.brown@environment-agency.gov.uk
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Environment Agency
<b>Address</b>	Eastbury House 9th Floor London SE1 7TL
<b>Event Name</b>	Core Strategy Submission DPD Representations Stage
<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Matt Brown
<b>Comment ID</b>	CS34
<b>Response Date</b>	20/07/09 11:55
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Core Strategy Policy 28 Water and Waterways ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.8
<b>Guidance notes</b>	

Please tick the sections of the guidance that you wish to read.

Introduction

Legal Compliance

Soundness

General advice

Legal compliance

Do you consider the DPD is legally compliant? Yes

## Soundness

Do you consider the DPD is sound? Yes

## Unsound

Do you consider the DPD is unsound because it is not:

### Reasons for compliance and soundness

**Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We strongly support this policy (28 Water & Waterways) as it seeks to protect and enhance riparian areas along the waterways within the Borough. We are pleased to see that the policy encourages developers to incorporate an undeveloped buffer zone along the watercourse. This is in line with Planning Policy Statement 9 & 25, the London Plan Policies on the Blue Ribbon Network and the London Rivers Action Plan.

### Changes necessary for legal compliance and soundness

### Participation at oral part of examination

### Reasons for participation at oral part of examination

## Comment

Consultee	Mr Matt Brown (316577)
Email Address	matt.brown@environment-agency.gov.uk
Company / Organisation	Environment Agency
Address	Eastbury House 9th Floor London SE1 7TL
Event Name	Core Strategy Submission DPD Representations Stage
Comment by	Mr Matt Brown
Comment ID	CS35
Response Date	20/07/09 11:59
Consultation Point	8.52 Paragraph ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.13
Guidance notes	

Please tick the sections of the guidance that you wish to read.

Introduction

Legal Compliance

Soundness

General advice

Legal compliance

Do you consider the DPD is legally compliant? Yes

## **Soundness**

**Do you consider the DPD is sound?** Yes

## **Unsound**

**Do you consider the DPD is unsound because it is not:**

## **Reasons for compliance and soundness**

**Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We support this policy however we recommend that the reference to the Bringing your rivers back to life be changed to the London Rivers Action Plan which is the most recent document dealing with river restoration. For more information you can view the documents at: [www.therrc.co.uk/lrap.php](http://www.therrc.co.uk/lrap.php)

## **Changes necessary for legal compliance and soundness**

## **Participation at oral part of examination**

## **Reasons for participation at oral part of examination**

## Comment

<b>Consultee</b>	Mr Matt Brown (316577)
<b>Email Address</b>	matt.brown@environment-agency.gov.uk
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Environment Agency
<b>Address</b>	Eastbury House 9th Floor London SE1 7TL
<b>Event Name</b>	Core Strategy Submission DPD Representations Stage
<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Matt Brown
<b>Comment ID</b>	CS37
<b>Response Date</b>	20/07/09 12:02
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Overarching principles for the policies in this chapter ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.9

### Guidance notes

Please tick the sections of the guidance that you wish to read.

Introduction

Legal Compliance

Soundness

General advice

Legal compliance

Do you consider the DPD is legally compliant? Yes

## Soundness

Do you consider the DPD is sound? Yes

## Unsound

Do you consider the DPD is unsound because it is not:

### Reasons for compliance and soundness

**Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We strongly support the overarching principles for the policies in this chapter. In particular the aim to reduce flooding, reduce average water consumption, consideration of climate change in all proposals, to minimise waste and to encourage development of new green infrastructure. This is in line with Planning Policy Statement 1 (and the supplement on Climate Change), Planning Policy Statement 9 and 25.

### Changes necessary for legal compliance and soundness

### Participation at oral part of examination

### Reasons for participation at oral part of examination

## Comment

<b>Consultee</b>	Mr Matt Brown (316577)
<b>Email Address</b>	matt.brown@environment-agency.gov.uk
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Environment Agency
<b>Address</b>	Eastbury House 9th Floor London SE1 7TL
<b>Event Name</b>	Core Strategy Submission DPD Representations Stage
<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Matt Brown
<b>Comment ID</b>	CS40
<b>Response Date</b>	20/07/09 12:16
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Core Strategy Policy 29 Resource Efficiency and Reducing Carbon Dioxide Emissions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.8
<b>Guidance notes</b>	

Please tick the sections of the guidance that you wish to read.

**Introduction**

**Legal Compliance**

**Soundness**

**General advice**

**Legal compliance**

**Do you consider the DPD is legally compliant?** Yes

## **Soundness**

**Do you consider the DPD is sound?** Yes

## **Unsound**

**Do you consider the DPD is unsound because it is not:**

### **Reasons for compliance and soundness**

**Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We support this policy (29 Resource Efficiency) in particular the aim to use resources efficiently through building design requirements such as the Code for Sustainable Homes and BREEAM ratings. We also support the requirement to incorporate water efficient devices in existing developments. As noted in paragraph 9.15 London is classified as an area of high water stress. These measures will ensure that this issue is addressed within the Hackney Borough.

### **Changes necessary for legal compliance and soundness**

### **Participation at oral part of examination**

### **Reasons for participation at oral part of examination**

## Comment

<b>Consultee</b>	Mr Matt Brown (316577)
<b>Email Address</b>	matt.brown@environment-agency.gov.uk
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Environment Agency
<b>Address</b>	Eastbury House 9th Floor London SE1 7TL
<b>Event Name</b>	Core Strategy Submission DPD Representations Stage
<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Matt Brown
<b>Comment ID</b>	CS42
<b>Response Date</b>	20/07/09 12:32
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Core Strategy Policy 31 Flood Risk ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.7
<b>Guidance notes</b>	

Please tick the sections of the guidance that you wish to read.

**Introduction**

**Legal Compliance**

**Soundness**

**General advice**

**Legal compliance**

**Do you consider the DPD is legally compliant?** Yes

## Soundness

Do you consider the DPD is sound? Yes

## Unsound

Do you consider the DPD is unsound because it is not:

## Reasons for compliance and soundness

**Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We strongly support this policy (31 Flood Risk) and the information/comments provided on flood risk (paragraphs 9.28 - 9.34). We are pleased to see that the North London SFRA and Thames Catchment Flood Management Plan (CFMP) have been taken into account and used as part of the evidence base. The requirements in the policy for developments to contribute to the long term flood management targets of the Thames CFMP, apply the Sequential and Exception Test and to take account of the North London SFRA and incorporate the use of Sustainable Urban Drainage systems (SUDs) is commendable. The policy is in line with the requirements of PPS25.

## Changes necessary for legal compliance and soundness

## Participation at oral part of examination

## Reasons for participation at oral part of examination

## Comment

<b>Consultee</b>	Mr Matt Brown (316577)
<b>Email Address</b>	matt.brown@environment-agency.gov.uk
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Environment Agency
<b>Address</b>	Eastbury House 9th Floor London SE1 7TL
<b>Event Name</b>	Core Strategy Submission DPD Representations Stage
<b>Comment by</b>	Mr Matt Brown
<b>Comment ID</b>	CS43
<b>Response Date</b>	20/07/09 12:33
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Indicators to monitor delivery of policy 31 ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.10
<b>Guidance notes</b>	

Please tick the sections of the guidance that you wish to read.

**Introduction**

**Legal Compliance**

**Soundness**

**General advice**

**Legal compliance**

**Do you consider the DPD is legally compliant?** Yes

## **Soundness**

Do you consider the DPD is sound? Yes

## **Unsound**

Do you consider the DPD is unsound because it is not:

### **Reasons for compliance and soundness**

**Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

We recommend another indicator to monitor the delivery of policy 31 to include is the incorporation of SUDs in new developments.

### **Changes necessary for legal compliance and soundness**

### **Participation at oral part of examination**

### **Reasons for participation at oral part of examination**